

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,367	06/28/2000	Feng Chen	042390.P8530	6023
7	7590 03/14/2003			
Howard A Skaist		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			NGUYEN, HIEP	
7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ghe			
	Applicant(s)				
	CHEN ET AL.				
	Art Unit	I			
	2816				
sheet with the d	orrespondence add	lress			
ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. In this application. A proper reply to a mendment which places the application in elements; or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued					
er a) or b)]					
) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In HS from the mailing date of the final rejection. O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
etition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension bry period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or onths after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if					
ed within the period of the color of the col	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
and/or search (see NOTE below);				
appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
ing number of finally rejected claims.					
ubmitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
has been considered but does NOT place the					
cted SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
	l will be entered a w or appended.	and an			

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	09/606,367	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hiep Nguyen	2816

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover

THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed am condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: 8 and 9.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7 and 10-25</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:
TUANT LAM

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations i.e., "said latch comprising cross coupled inverters" in claim 1 required further consideration and or search..